



COMMUNITY STANDARDS & LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE SELECTION SHEET/AGENDA

August 24, 2017 – Churchill Building – Room 2

9:30 am
12 noon

Call to Order
Adjournment

MEMBERS

S. McKeen, B. Anderson, M. Nickel

ITEM		ACTION
1.	CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	
1.1	Call to Order	
1.2	Adoption of Agenda	
1.3	Adoption of Minutes	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> July 13, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting minutes 	
2.	EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS	
3.	COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS	
3.1	Appeal of Order - A. D., Refusal to issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence, pursuant to Section 42 of the <i>Vehicle for Hire Bylaw</i>	
3.2	Appeal of Order - G. K., 11311 - 104 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i> .	
4.	ADJOURNMENT	

View the interactive agenda at www.edmonton.ca/meetings



COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE

MINUTES

July 13, 2017 – Churchill Building

PRESENT

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

ABSENT

None

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

C. Hammett, Office of the City Clerk
 C. Ashmore, Law Branch
 J. Rose/B. Webster, Office of the City Clerk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	PAGE	DECISION
1. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	1	
1.1 Call to Order	1	
1.2 Adoption of Agenda	2	
1.3 Adoption of Minutes	2	
2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS	2	
3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS	2	
3.1 Appeal of Order 239791882-001 – R.R. & P.K.; 301 - Grand Meadow Crescent NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	2	
3.2 Appeal of Order 245992821-001 – C.M.; 6503 - 98 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	4	Order Varied
3.3 Appeal of Order 247000570-001 – W.B.; 11004 – 95 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	4	Withdrawn
3.4 Appeal of Order 251968579-001 – T.L. & S.L.; 16520 101 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	5	Denied
3.5 Appeal of Order 251502935-001 - 263845 Alberta Ltd.; 6803 - 136 Avenue NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	5	Withdrawn

4.	ADJOURNMENT	5	Withdrawn
-----------	--------------------	----------	------------------

DECISION SUMMARY

ITEM		DECISION
-------------	--	-----------------

1. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS

1.1 Call to Order

Councillor Caterina called the meeting to order at 9:37 am.

1.2 That the July 13, 2017, Agenda be adopted.

Moved S. McKeen:

That the July 13, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee agenda be adopted.

In Favour:

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

Carried

1.3 Adoption of Minutes

Moved B. Anderson:

That the June 15, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting minutes be adopted.

In Favour:

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

Carried

2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS

Councillor Caterina explained the appeal hearing process and asked if anyone objected to any Member of the Community Standards Licence Appeal Committee hearing the appeals.

P.K. objected to Councillor Anderson being on the Committee as having a bias towards 301 Grand Meadows Cres.

The Committee met in private at 9:43 am, pursuant to Section 4 and 27 of *The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

The Committee met in public at 9:53 am.

The Committee made a motion to proceed with the items on

the agenda with written reasons to follow.

3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS

3.1 Appeal of Order 239791882-001 – R.R. & P.K.; 301 - Grand Meadow Crescent NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*

R.R & P.K., Appellants, requested additional time to speak. The Committee granted an additional 5 minutes.

R.R & P.K., Appellants, made a presentation and answered the Committee’s questions.

T. Courtoreille, Citizen Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Two sets of photographs were provided to the Appellant, Members of the Committee and the Office of the City Clerk.

P. V., an interested party, made a presentation and answered the Committee’s questions.

R.R & P.K., Appellant, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee’s questions.

T. Courtoreille, Community Services Department, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

The Committee met in private at 10:53 am, pursuant to Section 4 and 27 of *The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

The Committee met in public at 11:29 am

Moved S. McKeen:

The Committee upholds the order with variances.	Citizen Services Dept.
You are therefore ordered to:	
Remove all wood pallets, plastic buckets, wooden work horses, electronics/computer parts, metal shelving, landscaping trim, wood boards, lawnmowers, cardboard and plastic boxes, filing cabinets, tools, wires, cables, machine motor, satellite dishes, scrap	Due Date: Sept. 15, 2017

<p>metal, derelict/damaged/dismantled vehicles, cement pieces, vehicle parts, tires, office chairs, appliances, tarped items, loose litter and debris and other assorted materials from the entire property and take any actions or remove any other items that are contributing to the nuisance condition of the property. Remove all other materials that are currently being stored under the five structures located on the property in reference to the following City of Edmonton Development Compliance files 175937692-008, 175937692-009, 175937692-002, 175937692-007, 175937692-006.</p> <p>And thereafter maintain the property to prevent the reoccurrence of any unsightly condition detrimental to the surrounding area.</p>	
---	--

In Favour:

Carried

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

3.2 **Appeal of Order 245992821-001 – C.M.; 6503 - 98 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act***

T. Courtoreille advised the Committee that pursuant to an inspection conducted on the property, Administration has withdrawn the Order against this property because it is now in compliance with the Community Standards Bylaw 14600.

3.3 **Appeal of Order 247000570-001 – W.B.; 11004 – 95 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act***

The Committee dealt with a preliminary matter - whether the appeal was received on time or not.

M.B. distributed 7 copies to the Committee, the Office of the City Clerk and the Respondent.

The Committee met in private at 11:46 am, pursuant to Section 4 and 27 of The *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

The Committee met in public at 12:06 pm.

The decision of the Committee is that the original appeal was filed late; therefore the appeal of the late decision is denied.

Moved B. Anderson:

The Committee denies the appeal of the late filing decision.

In Favour:

Carried

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

3.4 **Appeal of Order 251968579-001 – T.L. & S. L.; 16520 101 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act***

C. Hammett, Office of the City Clerk, advised the Committee that pursuant to an inspection conducted on the property, Administration has withdrawn the Order against this property because it is now in compliance with the Community Standards Bylaw 14600.

3.5 **Appeal of Order 251502935-001 - 263845 Alberta LTD; 6803 - 136 Avenue NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act***

C. Hammett, Office of the City Clerk, advised the Committee that pursuant to an inspection conducted on the property, Administration has withdrawn the Order against this property because it is now in compliance with the Community Standards Bylaw 14600.

4. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 12:07 pm.

Chair

City Clerk



**EDMONTON
TRIBUNALS**

*Community
Standards &
Licence Appeal
Committee*

10019 – 103 Avenue NW
Edmonton, AB T5J 0G9
P: 780-496-5026 F: 780-496-8199
cslac@edmonton.ca
edmontoncslac.ca

Decision of the Committee

Appeal of Decision to Refuse to Issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence Order Pursuant to Section 42 of the *Vehicle for Hire Bylaw*

Hearing Date: August 24, 2017

Appellant: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

I. ISSUE

Whether or not the proper criteria have been met to refuse to issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence to [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

II. APPEARANCES AND EVIDENCE

In dealing with this appeal, the Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee (the Committee) heard from:

Appellant: Mr. [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Respondent: Mr. C. Chan, Acting Program Manager, Business Licensing and Vehicle for Hire

Written Submissions:

- Record from the Respondent, Mr. C. Chan, Acting Program Manager, Business Licensing and Vehicle For Hire
- Submission from Mr. A. [REDACTED] Appellant
- Submission from Mr. C. Chan, Respondent
- Response from Mr. A. [REDACTED] to the Respondent's written submission

III. SUMMARY OF APPELLANT'S POSITION

The appellant advised the Committee that he has held the same job since 2004. Save for the one drunk driving incident in 2010, his driving record is completely clean. His licence was suspended for one year and he was able to resume driving in September, 2011. He paid all amounts owing. He acknowledges his mistake and feels he has made amends for the error and has shown exemplary behaviour since. He applied for the

chauffeur licence as his wife is expecting and he wanted the additional income to better support his family while his wife is on maternity leave.

IV. SUMMARY OF RESPONDENT’S POSITION

Mr. Chan indicated that in this case, the decision maker becomes the statutory respondent, but he was tempering his comments since he was the decision maker.

The goal of the Vehicle for Hire Bylaw 17400 is to provide citizens with safe and reliable transportation services. As per section 43 of the Bylaw, the City Manager’s role is to determine what is acceptable on a police information check to allow someone to work as a driver. The City Manager may refuse to issue a licence on reasonable grounds if, in the opinion of the City Manager, it is in the public interest to do so.

The decision was based on the Vehicle for Hire Licence application dated March 17, 2017. His decision was based on the conviction in 2010 for Mr. [REDACTED] for driving above the legal blood alcohol limit. This type of conviction requires the City Manager to consider the public safety concern in issuing Mr. [REDACTED] his licence.

While he did consider Mr. [REDACTED] rationale and justifications, any decision must be based on ensuring passenger and public safety, as this is a core regulatory goal of the program. The appellant’s personal situation was not sufficient to override Mr. Chan’s concerns about public safety and could undermine public confidence in the safety of the program.

Since the time of Mr. Chan’s original decision, the city has incorporated new provincial regulations into their Vehicle for Hire Bylaw. These requirements would restrict applicants with a conviction in the 10 years prior to the date of application. The bylaw amendments to implement this proposal were approved by City Council in June, 2017.

Vehicle for Hire is viewed as a service to the public, and thus greater scrutiny should be expected in the interests of public safety. Members of the public should be reasonably confident that such licencing decisions are made with public safety as a top priority. As the information in Mr. [REDACTED] application raised a public interest concern, Mr. Chan’s decision to refuse to issue a Vehicle for Hire licence is both reasonable, and keeping with the city’s goal to keep safe services available to the public.

V. DECISION

The Committee upholds the decision of the Acting Program Manager, Business Licensing and Vehicle for Hire.	
--	--

VI. REASONS

Mr. [REDACTED] is appealing the refusal of a licence under the Vehicle For Hire Bylaw. The licence was refused on the basis of a drunk driving conviction that took place a number of years ago. The arguments of Mr. [REDACTED] center around the fact that this was a one time occurrence and his record has been clean ever since.

The Acting Program Manager for the Vehicle for Hire program refused to issue the licence on the grounds that Mr. [REDACTED] has a criminal conviction for a driving offense. His rationale was that a vehicle for hire licence is all about driving, and a conviction for a driving offense is an indication that the public may be at risk if a licence was granted. He felt the public interest therefore requires the refusal of the licence. The Committee agrees. There is no question that a criminal conviction for a driving offense has an integral and important tie to whether someone should be issued a vehicle for hire licence.

There is also no doubt that the public would not want the City of Edmonton to put citizens at risk by issuing vehicle for hire licences to individuals that have been criminally convicted of driving offenses. A single conviction of this nature demonstrates that the individual, at least on the one occasion, was willing to put other members of the public at risk. Further, there is always the possibility of someone reoffending, and in some cases they may be reoffending and not getting caught. In order to ensure that members of the public have full confidence in the vehicle for hire program, and to ensure the safety of the public, all individuals convicted of a driving offense should be refused licences, even if it was a single offense. To do otherwise would put too much risk on the public, and the vehicle for hire program itself might suffer.

This may seem like a harsh result in the facts of this case. Mr. [REDACTED] indicated that his was a one time event, and that his record has been clear ever since. This may be true, but once an individual has been convicted of an offense of this nature, they must take the consequences of their actions. One of those consequences will be to be disqualified from the vehicle for hire program until there is some certainty that the individual will be unlikely to offend.

This of course raises the question of how long a conviction of this nature should affect the issuance of a licence. Since the Applicant submitted his application for a licence, the City has enacted more specific rules relating to how criminal convictions are to be considered by the City Manager and his delegate in the vehicle for hire program. The City took their guidance from provincial regulations dealing with transportation network vehicles. Both the new City bylaw and the provincial regulations provide context upon which this question can be answered.

This committee interprets the amendments to the Vehicle For Hire Bylaw as saying that anyone convicted of a criminal offence related to a motor vehicle should not be granted a licence for a period of 10 years whether such conviction took place while at work, or otherwise. Arguably, since the licence was applied for before the implementation of the new bylaw, the Committee is not bound by this new bylaw. But the committee accepts this 10 year timeline for 2 reasons. First, it provides a reasonable legislative context around the period of time that must expire to reduce the possibility of an individual reoffending, and this legislation has been adopted by two different levels of government in similar contexts. Second, the new bylaw will clearly apply every time a licence comes up for renewal even if a licence were issued today. In other words, even if Mr. [REDACTED] were granted a licence today, he would be subject to the new bylaw when the licence expires. Since the two bylaws do not conflict, it therefore seems reasonable to follow the intent of the new bylaw today.

It is important that this does not mean that Mr. [REDACTED] is banned from the vehicle for hire program forever. Once 10 years has expired, assuming his record was clear, he could reapply. He would also have the option of asking the federal government for a pardon to clear his record before the 10 years is up, and if successful, he would be eligible for a licence.

The implementation of this 10 year rule is clearly designed to put public safety first in the vehicle for hire program. Any time a vehicle for hire driver is convicted of a criminal offense related to driving, his licence will be cancelled. New drivers will not be able to get licences if they have criminal convictions related to driving. Overall, this means that the public will have confidence that vehicle for hire drivers are drivers that are not committing criminal offenses related to driving.

Councillor S. McKeen

Date



Decision of the Committee

Appeal of Order 255051588-001; 11311 - 104 STREET NW, Edmonton, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*.

Hearing Date: August 24, 2017

Appellant: G. [REDACTED]

I. ISSUE

Whether the Order was properly issued by the City of Edmonton and whether the property in question is in a nuisance condition.

II. APPEARANCES AND EVIDENCE

In dealing with the Appeal of Order 255051588-001, the Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee (the Committee) heard from:

Appellants: G. [REDACTED]

Respondent: J. Lallemand and T. Courtoreille, Citizen Services, Community Standards Branch

The Committee reviewed a document provided by Mr. [REDACTED] the submissions from Community Standards Branch which included two set of photographs of the subject property taken on July 11, 2017, and August 23, 2017.

III. SUMMARY OF APPELLANT'S POSITION

Mr. [REDACTED] the Appellant, said he feels the city is inconsistent in their focus on his yard. In his view, the City itself is, in fact, a worse offender. He provided photographs of city property for comparison. He noted that the city has allowed bushes to encroach on its Multi-Use Paths (MUPs). He feels it is reasonable for him to hold himself to the same standard the city does, and that it is fair that he follows the city's lead in that regard.

He allows the grass to remain tall so as to keep out dandelions and other various weeds. This requires less energy and lower carbon emissions. It also allows seeds to drop to maintain the grass.

IV. SUMMARY OF RESPONDENT’S POSITION

Justin Lallemand, the Respondent, advised the Committee that on June 23, 2017 a bylaw officer attended the property in question based on a citizen complaint. The sidewalk adjacent was obstructed by tree branches, and tall weeds were growing. Due to the untidy and unsightly state of the property, it was found to be in a nuisance on land condition. An Order was issued pursuant to section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*. Further there have been 13 bylaw complaints on the property since 2014, including a 2015 CSLAC hearing, where the Order addressing nuisance conditions was upheld by the Committee.

Administration provided the Committee with two sets of photos. The first set was taken on July 11, 2017 on the day of inspection. The second set was taken on August 23, 2017 and accurately shows the condition of the property.

The current condition of this property meets the definition of a nuisance property under Section 6 of the Community Standards Bylaw for lack of general maintenance and/or upkeep. Some of the issues include, but are not limited to, unkempt grass and vegetation that obstructs sidewalks adjacent to the land.

Based on this information, administration is asking that the order be upheld.

V. DECISION

<p>The Committee upholds the Order.</p> <p>You are therefore ordered to:</p> <p>Trim all vegetation that is obstructing onto the sidewalk/public property and affecting the safe use of the sidewalk by pedestrians; trim all long grass, weeds and other vegetation and remove any loose litter and debris and other assorted materials from the entire property and take any actions or remove any other items that are contributing to the unsightly condition of the property.</p>	<p>Citizen Services Dept.</p> <p>Due Date: September 24, 2017</p>
--	--

VI. REASONS

The majority of the arguments that were heard by the Committee in this appeal were that the appellant believes in naturalization, and he also wants the City to be held to the same standard as a private property owner as it relates to public parks and laneways.

Although not directly relevant to this appeal, the Committee was comforted in hearing that City Administration will in fact take action against other City departments that are not maintaining their property.

The arguments about naturalization are interesting, and have been in front of City Council on more than one occasion as it relates to various issues. Today, however, this Committee is bound by the bylaws as they currently read.

Section 6 of the Community Standards Bylaw indicates that a property is a nuisance if there is unkempt grass or weeds higher than 10 centimeters, and if there is any vegetation that obstructs any sidewalk adjacent to the land. The evidence shows that the property is a nuisance for both of these reasons. The order is therefore upheld.

Councillor S. McKeen

Date



COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE

MINUTES

August 24, 2017 – Churchill Building

PRESENT

S. McKeen, B. Anderson, M. Nickel

ABSENT

None

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

S. McDonald, Office of the City Clerk
 C. Ashmore, Law Branch
 B. Webster/J. Rose, Office of the City Clerk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	PAGE	DECISION
1. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	1	
1.1 Call to Order	2	
1.2 Adoption of Agenda	2	
1.3 Adoption of Minutes	2	
2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS	2	
3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS	2	
3.1 Appeal of Order - A. D., Refusal to issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence, pursuant to Section 42 of the <i>Vehicle for Hire Bylaw</i>	2	Denied
3.2 Appeal of Order - G. K., 11311 - 104 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act.</i>	3	Order Varied
4. ADJOURNMENT	4	

DECISION SUMMARY

ITEM	DECISION
1. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	

1.1 Call to Order

Councillor McKeen called the meeting to order at 9:35 am

1.2 [Adoption of Agenda](#)

Moved S. McKeen:

That the August 24, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting agenda be adopted
Councillor McKeen explained the appeal hearing process and asked if anyone objected to any Member of the Community Standards Licence Appeal Committee hearing the appeals. No one objected.

In Favour:

S. McKeen, B. Anderson, M. Nickel

CARRIED

1.3 [Adoption of Minutes](#)

Moved S. McKeen:

That the July 13, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting minutes be adopted.

In Favour:

S. McKeen, B. Anderson, M. Nickel

CARRIED

2. [EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS](#)

3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS

[3.1](#)

Appeal of Order – A. D., Refusal to issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence, pursuant to Section 42 of the *Vehicle for Hire Bylaw*

A. D., Appellant, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Calvin Chan, Sustainable Development, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

The Committee met in private at 9:58am pursuant to Section 20 of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

The Committee met in public at 10:15am.

Moved B. Anderson:

The Committee denies the appeal.

In Favour:

CARRIED

B. Anderson, S. McKeen, M. Nickel

3.2

Appeal of Order - G. K., 11311 - 104 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*.

G. K., appellant, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

G. K. distributed 6 copies of photographs to the Committee, the Office of the City Clerk, and the Respondent.

T. Courtoreille and J. Lallemand, Citizen Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Two sets of photographs taken on July 11, 2017 and August 23, 2017 were provided to the Appellant, Members of the Committee, and the Office of the City Clerk.

Moved S. McKeen:

<p>The Committee upholds the order.</p> <p>You are therefore ordered to:</p> <p>Trim all vegetation that is obstructing onto the sidewalk/public property and affecting the safe use of the sidewalk by pedestrians; trim all long grass, weeds and other vegetation and remove any loose litter and debris and other assorted materials from the entire property and take any actions or remove any other items that are contributing to the unsightly condition of the property.</p>	<p>Citizen Services Dept.</p> <p>Due Date: Sept. 24, 2017</p>
--	--

In Favour:

CARRIED

B. Anderson, S. McKeen, M. Nickel

4. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:43 am

Chair

City Clerk



COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE

MINUTES

August 24, 2017 – River Valley Room

PRESENT

ABSENT

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, T. Caterina, S. McKeen

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM		PAGE	DECISION
1.	CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	1	
1.1	Call to Order	1	
1.2	Adoption of Agenda	1	
1.3	Adoption of Minutes	2	
2.	EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS	2	
3.	COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS	2	
4.	ADJOURNMENT	3	

DECISION SUMMARY

ITEM		DECISION
1.	CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	
1.1	Call to Order	

Councillor McKeen called the meeting to order at 9:35 am

1.2 Adoption of Agenda

Moved :

That the July 13, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting minutes be adopted

Councillor _ explained the appeal hearing process and asked if anyone objected to any Member of the Community Standards Licence Appeal Committee hearing the appeals. No one objected.

Moved :

That the July 13, 2017 Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting minutes be adopted.	
---	--

1.3 Adoption of Minutes

Moved :

2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS

3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS

3.1 Appeal of Order - A. D., Refusal to issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence, pursuant to Section 42 of the *Vehicle for Hire Bylaw*

A. D. made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

J. Lallemand, Citizen Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

The Committee met in private at _ pursuant to Section 20 of the the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

The Committee met in public at _____

Moved :

The Committee denies the appeal.

moved ANderson, seconded mckeen

reasons provided in writing at a later date

3.2 **Appeal of Order - G. K., 11307 - 106 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*.**

G. K. made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

T. Courtoreille and J. Lallemand, Citizen Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Moved :

The committee upholds the order.

Moved :

The committee varies upholds cancels the order.

4. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at

Chair

City Clerk



COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE

MINUTES

July 13, 2017 – Churchill Building

PRESENT

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

ABSENT

None

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

C. Hammett, Office of the City Clerk
 C. Ashmore, Law Branch
 J. Rose/B. Webster, Office of the City Clerk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	PAGE	DECISION
1. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	1	
1.1 Call to Order	1	
1.2 Adoption of Agenda	2	
1.3 Adoption of Minutes	2	
2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS	2	
3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS	2	
3.1 Appeal of Order 239791882-001 – R.R. & P.K.; 301 - Grand Meadow Crescent NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	2	
3.2 Appeal of Order 245992821-001 – C.M.; 6503 - 98 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	4	Order Varied
3.3 Appeal of Order 247000570-001 – W.B.; 11004 – 95 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	4	Withdrawn
3.4 Appeal of Order 251968579-001 – T.L. & S.L.; 16520 101 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	5	Denied
3.5 Appeal of Order 251502935-001 - 263845 Alberta Ltd.; 6803 - 136 Avenue NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	5	Withdrawn

4. ADJOURNMENT	5	Withdrawn
-----------------------	----------	------------------

DECISION SUMMARY

ITEM		DECISION
-------------	--	-----------------

1. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS

1.1 Call to Order

Councillor Caterina called the meeting to order at 9:37 am.

1.2 That the July 13, 2017, Agenda be adopted.

Moved S. McKeen:

That the July 13, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee agenda be adopted.

In Favour:

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

Carried

1.3 Adoption of Minutes

Moved B. Anderson:

That the June 15, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting minutes be adopted.

In Favour:

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

Carried

2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS

Councillor Caterina explained the appeal hearing process and asked if anyone objected to any Member of the Community Standards Licence Appeal Committee hearing the appeals.

P.K. objected to Councillor Anderson being on the Committee as having a bias towards 301 Grand Meadows Cres.

The Committee met in private at 9:43 am, pursuant to Section 4 and 27 of *The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

The Committee met in public at 9:53 am.

The Committee made a motion to proceed with the items on

the agenda with written reasons to follow.

3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS

3.1 Appeal of Order 239791882-001 – R.R. & P.K.; 301 - Grand Meadow Crescent NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*

R.R & P.K., Appellants, requested additional time to speak. The Committee granted an additional 5 minutes.

R.R & P.K., Appellants, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

T. Courtoreille, Citizen Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Two sets of photographs were provided to the Appellant, Members of the Committee and the Office of the City Clerk.

P. V., an interested party, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

R.R & P.K., Appellant, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

T. Courtoreille, Community Services Department, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

The Committee met in private at 10:53 am, pursuant to Section 4 and 27 of *The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

The Committee met in public at 11:29 am

Moved S. McKeen:

The Committee upholds the order with variances.	Citizen Services Dept.
You are therefore ordered to:	
Remove all wood pallets, plastic buckets, wooden work horses, electronics/computer parts, metal shelving, landscaping trim, wood boards, lawnmowers, cardboard and plastic boxes, filing cabinets, tools, wires, cables, machine motor, satellite dishes, scrap	Due Date: Sept. 15, 2017

<p>metal, derelict/damaged/dismantled vehicles, cement pieces, vehicle parts, tires, office chairs, appliances, tarped items, loose litter and debris and other assorted materials from the entire property and take any actions or remove any other items that are contributing to the nuisance condition of the property. Remove all other materials that are currently being stored under the five structures located on the property in reference to the following City of Edmonton Development Compliance files 175937692-008, 175937692-009, 175937692-002, 175937692-007, 175937692-006.</p> <p>And thereafter maintain the property to prevent the reoccurrence of any unsightly condition detrimental to the surrounding area.</p>	
---	--

In Favour:

Carried

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

3.2 Appeal of Order 245992821-001 – C.M.; 6503 - 98 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*

T. Courtoreille advised the Committee that pursuant to an inspection conducted on the property, Administration has withdrawn the Order against this property because it is now in compliance with the Community Standards Bylaw 14600.

3.3 Appeal of Order 247000570-001 – W.B.; 11004 – 95 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*

The Committee dealt with a preliminary matter - whether the appeal was received on time or not.

M.B. distributed 7 copies to the Committee, the Office of the City Clerk and the Respondent.

The Committee met in private at 11:46 am, pursuant to Section 4 and 27 of The *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

The Committee met in public at 12:06 pm.

The decision of the Committee is that the original appeal was filed late; therefore the appeal of the late decision is denied.

Moved B. Anderson:

The Committee denies the appeal of the late filing decision.

In Favour:

Carried

T. Caterina, B. Anderson, S. McKeen

3.4 **Appeal of Order 251968579-001 – T.L. & S. L.; 16520 101 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act***

C. Hammett, Office of the City Clerk, advised the Committee that pursuant to an inspection conducted on the property, Administration has withdrawn the Order against this property because it is now in compliance with the Community Standards Bylaw 14600.

3.5 **Appeal of Order 251502935-001 - 263845 Alberta LTD; 6803 - 136 Avenue NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act***

C. Hammett, Office of the City Clerk, advised the Committee that pursuant to an inspection conducted on the property, Administration has withdrawn the Order against this property because it is now in compliance with the Community Standards Bylaw 14600.

4. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 12:07 pm.

Chair

City Clerk

