



COMMUNITY STANDARDS & LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE SELECTION SHEET / AGENDA

February 1, 2018 – Councillor's Boardroom

9:30 a.m.
12 Noon

Call to Order
Adjournment

MEMBERS

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

ITEM		ACTION
1.	CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	
1.1	Call to Order	
1.2	Adoption of Agenda	
1.3	Adoption of Minutes	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> November 16, 2017, and December 1, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting minutes 	
2.	EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS	
3.	COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS	
3.1	Appeal of the Decision to Refuse to Issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence – M. S. S. pursuant to the Vehicle for Hire Bylaw 17400.	Decision Upheld
3.2	Appeal of Order – W. B., 11004 - 95 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the Municipal Government Act.	Adjourned
4.	ADJOURNMENT	

View the interactive agenda at www.edmonton.ca/meetings



COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE

MINUTES

November 16, 2017 – Churchill Building

PRESENT

S. McKeen, M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, T. Caterina

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

S. McDonald, Office of the City Clerk
 C. Ashmore, Law Branch
 J. Rose, Office of the City Clerk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM		PAGE	DECISION
1.	CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	1	
1.1	Call to Order	1	
1.2	Adoption of Agenda	2	
1.3	Adoption of Minutes	3	
2.	EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS	3	
3.	COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS	3	
3.1	Appeal of Order 245391244-001; J. S., 10450 – 144 Street NW, Edmonton, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	3	Upheld
3.2	Appeal of Debt Recovery Invoice 37825185; G. K.; Weed Mowing at 8325 – 82 Avenue NW	4	Upheld
3.3	Appeal of Local Authority Notice 257951510-001 for 8304 – 106 Avenue NW	5	Withdrawn
3.4	Appeal of Order 254375442-001; Riviera Hotel (1991) Corporation; 10336 – 82 Avenue NW, Edmonton, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	5	Postponed
3.5	Appeal of Order 262209382-001; S. B.; 11918 – 37 Street NW, Edmonton, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>	6	Varied
4.	ADJOURNMENT	7	

DECISION SUMMARY

ITEM		DECISION
------	--	----------

1. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS

1.1 Call to Order

S. McDonald, Office of the City Clerk, called the meeting to order at 9:34 a.m.

Election of Chair

S. McDonald, Office of the City Clerk, called for nominations for the position of Chair, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee.

Councillor T. Caterina nominated Councillor M. Banga for the position of Chair, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee.

S. McDonald, Office of the City Clerk, asked if there were any further nominations. There being none, S. McDonald, declared nominations closed.

Moved T. Caterina:

That Councillor M. Banga be elected as Chair, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee.

In Favour:

T. Caterina, S. McKeen, M. Banga, J. Dziadyk

S. McDonald, Office of the City Clerk, vacated the Chair and Councillor M. Banga presided.

Election of Vice-Chair

Councillor M. Banga called for nominations for the position of Vice-Chair, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee.

Councillor S. McKeen nominated Councillor J. Dziadyk for the position of Vice-Chair, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee.

Councillor M. Banga asked if there were any further nominations. There being none, Councillor M. Banga declared nominations closed.

Moved T. Caterina:

That Councillor J. Dziadyk be elected as Vice-Chair, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee.

Carried

In Favour:

T. Caterina, S. McKeen, M. Banga, J. Dziadyk

Carried

T. Caterina left the meeting at 9:40 a.m.

1.2 Adoption of Agenda

Moved S. McKeen:

That the November 16, 2017 Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting agenda be adopted with the following changes:

Withdrawal of Item 3.3 - Appeal of Local Authority Notice 257951510-001 for 8304 – 106 Avenue NW

In Favour:

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

Carried

1.3 Adoption of Minutes

Moved S. McKeen:

That the August 24, 2017 Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting minutes be adopted.

In Favour:

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

Carried

2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS

Councillor M. Banga explained the appeal hearing process and asked if anyone objected to any Member of the Community Standards Licence Appeal Committee hearing the appeals. No one objected.

3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS

3.1 Appeal of Order 245391244-001; 10450 – 144 Street NW, Edmonton, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*

J. S., Appellant, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

T. Courtoreille and J. Lallemand, Community Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Two sets of photographs taken on August 24, 2017 and November 15, 2017 were provided to the Appellant, Members of the Committee and the Office of the City Clerk.

J. S., Appellant, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

T. Courtoreille & J. Lallemand, Community Services Department, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Moved S. McKeen:

The Committee upholds the order. You are therefore ordered to remove all long grass, weeds, piles of metal, garbage bags, appliances, rusted auto parts, rotten wood, window frames, buckets, damaged/dismantled /derelict vehicles and all other assorted materials from the entire property and take any actions or remove any other items that are contributing to the unsightly condition of the property.	Citizen Services Due Date: September 18, 2017
--	--

In Favour:

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

Carried

3.2

Appeal of Debt Recovery Invoice 37825185; G. K.; Weed Mowing at 8325 – 82 Avenue NW

G. K., Appellant, requested a postponement and answered the Committee's questions.

T. Courtoreille & J. Lallemand, Community Services Department, did not object to the postponement request and answered the Committee's questions

Moved S. McKeen:

That the postponement request be denied.

In Favour:

S. McKeen, M. Banga, J. Dziadyk

Carried

G. K., Appellant, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

T. Courtoreille & J. Lallemand, Community Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Two sets of photographs taken on August 10, 2017 and August 21, 2017 were provided to the Appellant, Members of the Committee and the Office of the City Clerk.

G. K., Appellant, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

T. Courtoreille & J. Lallemand, Community Services Department, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

The Committee met in private at 11:46 a.m., pursuant to Section 4 and 27 of The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

The Committee met in public at 12:01 pm.

Moved M. Banga:

The Committee upholds the order. You are therefore ordered to pay the invoice.	Corporate Billing & Accounts Receivable
	Due Date: September 30, 2017

In Favour:

Carried

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

3.3

Appeal of Local Authority Notice 257951510-001 for 8304 – 106 Avenue NW

S. McDonald, Office of the City Clerk, advised the Committee that Administration has withdrawn the Order against this property.

3.4

Appeal of Order 254375442-001; 10336 – 82 Avenue NW, Edmonton, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*

J. O., Appellant, representative for Riviera Hotel, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Moved S. McKeen:

That the Appellant be given additional time to complete his submission.

In Favour:

Carried

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen
T. Courtoreille & J. Lallemand, Community Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Two sets of photographs, taken on September 8, 2017 and November 15, 2017 were provided to the Appellant, Members of the Committee and the Office of the City Clerk.

J. O., Appellant, representative for Riviera Hotel, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Moved M. Banga:

The Committee postpones the hearing until the June 14, 2018 CSLAC meeting	Citizen Services Due Date: June 14, 2018
---	---

In Favour:

Carried

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

3.5	Appeal of Order 262209382-001; 11918 – 37 Street NW, Edmonton, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i>
-----	---

S. B., Appellant, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

T. Courtoreille & J. Lallemand, Community Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Two sets of photographs, taken on September 13, 2017 and November 15, 2017 were provided to the Appellant, Members of the Committee and the Office of the City Clerk.

S. B., Appellant, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

J. Lallemand, Community Services Department, made a summary presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

The Committee met in private at 2:42 pm., pursuant to Section 4 and 27 of The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

The Committee met in public at 3:21 p.m.

Moved J. Dziadyk:

The Committee varies the order. You are therefore ordered to remove all dryers, large appliances, wood, plastic bins, damaged/dismantled/derelict vehicles loose litter and debris and other assorted materials from the entire property and take any actions or remove any other items that are contributing to the unsightly condition of the property.	Citizen Services Due Date: March 31, 2018.
---	---

In Favour:

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

Carried

4. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 3:22 p.m.

Chair

City Clerk



SPECIAL COMMUNITY STANDARDS & LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE

MINUTES

December 1, 2017 – Churchill Building, Hearing Room 2

PRESENT

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

S. McDonald, Office of the City Clerk
 C. Ashmore, Law Branch
 B. Webster, Office of the City Clerk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	PAGE	DECISION
1. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	1	
1.1 Call to Order	1	
1.2 Adoption of Agenda	1	
2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS	2	
3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS	2	
3.1 Appeal of Order - C. S. Refusal to issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence, pursuant to Section 42 of the Vehicle for Hire Bylaw	2	Denied
4. ADJOURNMENT	2	

DECISION SUMMARY

ITEM	DECISION
1. CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	
1.1 Call to Order	

Councillor Banga called the meeting to order at 9:49 am.

1.2 1.2 Adoption of Agenda	
----------------------------	--

Moved M. Banga:

That the December 1st, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting agenda be adopted.

In Favour:

Carried

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS

Councillor M. Banga explained the appeal hearing process and asked if anyone objected to any Member of the Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee hearing the appeals. No one objected.

3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS

3.1 Appeal of Order – C. S., Refusal to issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence, pursuant to Section 42 of the *Vehicle for Hire Bylaw*

C. S., Appellant, was not present and requested a postponement when contacted by telephone.

Wai Tse Ramirez and Nancy Jacobsen, Respondent, did not object to the postponement request and answered the Committee's questions.

Moved : S. McKeen

That the postponement request be denied.

In Favour:

Carried

M. Banga, J. Dziadyk, S. McKeen

Wai Tse Ramirez and Nancy Jacobsen, Respondent, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

The Committee met in private at 10:20 am pursuant to Section 20 of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

The Committee met in public at 10:51 am.

Moved S. McKeen

The Committee denies the appeal.

In Favour:

Carried

4. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:51 am.

Chair

City Clerk



**EDMONTON
TRIBUNALS**

*Community
Standards &
Licence Appeal
Committee*

10019 – 103 Avenue NW
Edmonton, AB T5J 0G9
P: 780-496-5026 F: 780-496-8199
cslac@edmonton.ca
edmontoncslac.ca

Decision of the Committee

Appeal of Decision to Refuse to Issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence Pursuant to Section 42 of the *Vehicle for Hire Bylaw*

Hearing Date: February 1, 2018

Appellant:

I. ISSUE

Should a Driver's Licence be issued to _____ pursuant to the Vehicle for Hire Bylaw?

II. APPEARANCES AND EVIDENCE

In dealing with this appeal, the Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee (the Committee) heard from:

Appellant: Mr. _____ (Represented by Ms. _____)

Respondent: Ms. Wai Tse Ramirez, Program Manager
Business Licensing and Vehicle for Hire

Ms. N. Jacobson, City of Edmonton Law Branch

Written Submissions:

- Record and Written Submission from the Respondent, Ms. Wai Tse Ramirez, Program Manager, Business Licensing and Vehicle For Hire
- Written Submission from the Appellant including documents from DATS, Dr. R. Maitra - Psychiatrist, Edmonton Local 569 Amalgamated Transit Union, Alberta Health Services Addiction Services, Homewood Health and a personal reference letter from W. R

III. SUMMARY OF APPELLANT'S POSITION

Ms. S. spoke on behalf of the Appellant, Mr. M. who was also present.

Ms. does not believe the charges against Mr. are reflective of his ability and safety as a driver. He has been driving professionally for over 20 years both as a Taxi Driver and DATS operator and has never had a speeding ticket. Other than the two charges, his on-time performance and safety records are over 90%. Alcohol consumption was the reason for both charges.

He received the first charge in 2008 and worked diligently to address his addiction. He attended Alcoholics Anonymous meetings for five years and felt he was now able to manage his alcoholism. After eight years of sobriety he again turned to alcohol to deal with the stress of his mother becoming severely ill. It was during this time that he received his second charge.

He now realizes that he can never have any alcohol again and has taken steps to ensure there will never be any further alcohol related issues:

- He is once again attending Alcoholics Anonymous meetings.
- He co-founded the first all Punjabi Alcoholics Anonymous meeting group in Edmonton.
- He has completed a 12 Step program with the assistance of a sponsor.
- He completed Bridge counselling and Relapse Prevention training with Alberta Health Services.

Mr. has continued his work as a DATS operator and provided employment records to demonstrate he is always on time, never calls in sick and is a valued employee. As part of his condition of employment he must attend random monthly drug and alcohol testing. The results of these tests are sent directly to his employer.

The Appellant is seeking to obtain a Chauffeur license in order to provide his family an alternate source of income as a taxi driver. He wishes to be able to financially support his three daughters with their university studies. It would be difficult for the Appellant to find other work.

Ms. believes the Appellant is a safe driver and she would not be here speaking on his behalf if she was not confident of this. She also stated that taxis are equipped with GPS and that the taxi company closely monitors its drivers. The Appellant would be open to any restrictions the Committee should wish to impose if his licence is reinstated.

IV. SUMMARY OF RESPONDENT'S POSITION

Decisions regarding vehicle for hire licence applications must be based on ensuring public safety. The city has incorporated new provincial regulations into their Vehicle

for Hire Bylaw. These requirements restrict applicants with a conviction in the 10 years prior to the date of application and Ms. Ramirez has no discretion to vary her decision.

Ms. Ramirez based her decision of refusal on Mr. [REDACTED] 2008 and 2016 convictions. While she acknowledges the steps Mr. [REDACTED] has taken to deal with his addiction she feels they are not sufficient to alleviate her concerns regarding public safety. Vehicle for hire is viewed as a service to the public and there is a reasonable expectation of greater scrutiny in order to provide safe and reliable service.

Ms. Jacobson explained to the Committee that Mr. [REDACTED] is able to drive for DATS but is prohibited from a taxi licence because different regulations apply. The Vehicle for Hire Bylaw mirrors the new provincial legislation which has been in place a little over a year. She also suggested that there is likely more supervision for DATS drivers and that there are more checks and balances in place.

Ms. Jacobson clarified that should this Committee agree to re-instate Mr. [REDACTED] licence it would only apply to limousines and taxies and would not allow him to drive for transportation network companies.

V. DECISION

The Committee denies the Appeal and upholds the decision of Refusal of the Program Manager, Business Licensing and Vehicle for Hire.

VI. REASONS

This is a difficult case to decide for a number of reasons. Mr. [REDACTED] is a recovering alcoholic. He is obviously trying to do the best he can and appears to have made significant strides in his ongoing recovery. He is currently driving for the City of Edmonton as a DATS driver. As a DATS driver he is subject to a number of conditions to ensure that he remains sober. By applying for the vehicle for hire licence, he is trying to get a second job so he can assist his family financially, including helping his daughters pay for university.

Unfortunately the law is against him. Section 33.1 of the Vehicle For Hire Bylaw 17400 (VFH Bylaw) states as follows:

33.1 (1) No person may drive a vehicle for hire if, during the past 10 years, the person was convicted of an offence under the *Criminal Code* (Canada) or the *Controlled Drugs and Substances Act* (Canada) that is related to the functions, duties, or business of a vehicle for hire or driver, which includes, but is not limited to:

(e) any offence relating to the unlawful operation of a motor vehicle.

The Appellant has two criminal convictions in the past ten years, both relating to the operation of a motor vehicle and alcohol. On first glance this section would suggest that there is a prohibition against issuing a licence, but there is another power given to the City Manager found in section 43 of the VFH Bylaw.

43 In addition to any other power, duty, or function prescribed by this bylaw, the City Manager may:

(p) modify or waive any requirement for issuance of a licence pursuant to this bylaw, including reducing or waiving the applicable fee;

Since obtaining a criminal code check is one of the requirements to obtain a license, section 43 could be used to waive section 33.1. This means that the City Manager has the power to grant a license notwithstanding the presence of a criminal code conviction.

During the hearing Ms. Ramirez, who is the delegate of the City Manager indicated she would not have discretion to waive section 33.1. Since the City Manager has the power to waive this requirement, this would mean the delegate would also have that power. Notwithstanding this fact, we would agree that the City Manager, or the delegate, would rarely, if ever, want to waive this requirement.

This Committee has all the same powers as the City Manager under section 14(9) of the Community Standards and License Appeal Committee Bylaw 15166. This Committee therefore has the power to waive section 33.1. Whether to waive this condition requires us to decide under what circumstances the requirement should be waived. Section 33.1 cannot be waived haphazardly. This provision was put in the legislation for a good reason, and any conviction in the last ten years should be a tall hurdle to cross before a vehicle for hire licence is issued.

Whether to waive this requirement will always bring into conflict the desire to ensure public safety with the desire to allow individuals to earn a living. The facts of each case will have to be reviewed to determine how much of a risk there is to the public. If the risk is low enough, then a license should be issued. We recognize that different decision makers may draw the line differently since each is exercising his or her own judgment. In our view a danger to public safety would usually trump any employment issues.

We find that this case is not an appropriate case to waive section 33.1. Here, there are two criminal convictions within the last ten years with the most recent conviction taking place less than two years ago. It is simply too soon since the last criminal conviction to be certain that there will not be another drinking relapse.

Part of our concern relates to the fact that as a taxi driver the Appellant would routinely be exposed to alcohol. With this exposure there may be temptations, and all it would take is one relapse to put members of the public in danger.

Notwithstanding that we are dismissing the appeal, if more time had elapsed since the last conviction, with continued proof of attendance at Alcoholics Anonymous meetings, then we may have agreed with Councillor Banga that conditions could be imposed to alleviate the risk to the public. Here the conviction, notwithstanding the Appellants significant progress, is simply too recent to ignore. We do sympathize with the appellant and his family and would encourage the Appellant to continue to work towards his recovery from alcoholism.

Dissenting Reasons of Councillor Banga

I agree with the reasons of my colleagues that the Vehicle For Hire Bylaw gives the City Manager the ability to waive section 33.1.

I depart from the reasoning of my colleagues in that I believe that this is an appropriate case to waive section 33.1 and instead put conditions on the licence. I agree that public safety must always be foremost in the analysis of whether a licence would be granted. Here, the Appellant already works as a DATS driver and is under several conditions relating to his sobriety. In that employment he would seldom, if ever, have to deal with situations where passengers had been drinking so it would not create a temptation. While I understand that the rules relating to his employment status as a DATS driver are different than the rules relating to licensing, I still see an inconsistency here. If he is already able to safely drive around some of the most vulnerable of the citizens of Edmonton by complying with existing conditions, why can he not drive around other citizens of the City of Edmonton.

Since I am in the minority I have not crafted the conditions that I would have employed, but they would have been similar to the requirements placed on him as a DATS driver.

I certainly wish the appellant the best and encourage him to continue to attend Alcoholics Anonymous meetings and get all the treatment he requires to have a successful outcome to this story.



Councillor M. Banga

February 14, 2018

Date



**EDMONTON
TRIBUNALS**

*Community
Standards &
Licence Appeal
Committee*

10019 – 103 Avenue NW
Edmonton, AB T5J 0G9
P: 780-496-5026 F: 780-496-8199
cslac@edmonton.ca
edmontoncslac.ca

Decision of the Committee

Appeal of Order 247000570-001; 11004 – 95 Street NW, Edmonton, Order Pursuant to Section 545(1) of the *Municipal Government Act*.

Hearing Date: February 1, 2018

Appellant: W. B

I. ISSUE

Whether the property in question is in a nuisance condition.

II. APPEARANCES AND EVIDENCE

In dealing with the Appeal of Order 247000570-001, the Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee (the Committee) heard from:

Appellant: M. B (Representing W. B)

Respondent: J. Lallemand and K. Scott
Citizen Services, Community Standards Branch

III. DECISION

The hearing has been adjourned to Thursday, March 1, 2018. Details regarding the exact time and location of the hearing will be sent in separate correspondence.

This is an interim decision of the Committee relating to an adjournment of the hearing. These reasons relate to why an adjournment is required.

While the Committee strongly suspects that the building as it currently stands cannot be converted by the property owner to a carport, as he has done, the Committee requires proof of this assertion. The Committee does not want to make a finding that the property is a nuisance as a result of the lack of doors and windows if the building is in fact a valid

carport. Further, the City should not be able to conduct remedial action on the property by boarding it up if the property is already a valid carport.

In essence the Committee is looking for answers relating to the following subjects:

- Does the current development permit allow a garage or carport on the property, and are there any requirements within that permit on those structures.
- As the structure stands right now, would it be considered a carport that is an acceptable use.
- If it could not be legally used as a carport at this time, is it possible for the property owner to obtain a permit to use this building as a carport.
- In order to construct a carport at the property, would this building have to be torn down, or could it be converted to a carport as it stands today.

It seems like that the best source of this information would be a development officer that would be able to comment on the current permitting on the building, and how the zoning bylaw applies to this situation. We would therefore request that a development officer be produced by the City of Edmonton that is familiar with the zoning bylaw and the permitting on the property to answer questions on this case.



Councillor M. Banga

February 14, 2018
Date



COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE

MINUTES

February 1, 2018 – Councillor’s Boardroom, City Hall

PRESENT

M. Banga, S. McKeen, J. Dziadyk

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

S. McDonald, Office of the City Clerk
 C. Ashmore, Law Branch
 I. Russell, Office of the City Clerk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM		PAGE	DECISION
1.	CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	1	
1.1	Call to Order	1	
1.2	Adoption of Agenda	1	
1.3	Adoption of Minutes	2	
2.	EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS	2	
3.	COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS	2	
3.1	Appeal of Decision to Refuse to Issue a City of Edmonton Drivers Licence to M. S. pursuant to the Vehicle For Hire Bylaw 17400.	2	
3.2	Appeal of Order - W. B., 11004 - 95 Street NW, Edmonton, AB, Order pursuant to Section 545(1) of the <i>Municipal Government Act</i> .	3	
4.	ADJOURNMENT	4	

DECISION SUMMARY

ITEM		DECISION
1.	CALL TO ORDER AND RELATED BUSINESS	
1.1	Call to Order	

Clr. M. Banga called the meeting to order at 9:42 a.m.

1.2 Adoption of Agenda

Moved S. McKeen:

That the February 1, 2018, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee Agenda be adopted.

In Favour:

Carried

M. Banga, S. McKeen, J. Dziadyk

1.3 [Adoption of Minutes](#)

Moved S. McKeen:

That the November 16, 2017, and December 1, 2017, Community Standards and Licence Appeal Committee meeting minutes be adopted.

In Favour:

Carried

M. Banga, S. McKeen, J. Dziadyk

2. EXPLANATION OF APPEAL HEARING PROCESS

Councillor M. Banga explained the hearing process and asked if anyone objected to any member of the Community Standards Licence Appeal Committee hearing the appeals. No one objected.

3. COMMUNITY STANDARDS AND LICENCE APPEAL COMMITTEE MATTERS

3.1 [Appeal of the Decision to Refuse to Issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence - M.S. pursuant to the Vehicle for Hire Bylaw 17400.](#)

Ms. S. Sidhu made a presentation on behalf of the Appellant, Mr. M. Sidhu. Mr. M. Sidhu was also present.

Ms. W. T. Ramirez, Business Licensing and Vehicle for Hire, made a presentation. She was accompanied by Ms. N. Jacobson of Law Branch and Ms. Ramirez and Ms. Jacobson answered the Committee's questions.

Mr. C. Ashmore, Law Branch, provided information and answered the Committee's questions.

The Committee met in private at 10:48 a.m., pursuant to Section 20 of The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

The Committee met in public at 11:21 a.m.

Moved J. Dziadyk:

The Committee upholds the decision to Refuse to Issue a City of Edmonton Driver's Licence to Mr. M. Sidhu.	Business Licensing and Vehicle for Hire
--	---

In Favour:

Carried

M. Banga, S. McKeen, J. Dziadyk

3.2

[Appeal of Order - W. B. 11004 - 95 Street NW, Order Pursuant to Section 545\(1\) of the *Municipal Government Act*.](#)

Councillor M. Banga explained the hearing process and asked if anyone objected to any member of the Community Standards Licence Appeal Committee hearing the appeals. No one objected.

The individual named on the property title, Mr. W. B., was represented by Mr. M. B. Mr. M. B. made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

The Committee voted to extend Orders.

Mr. J. Lallemand, Citizen Services Department, made a presentation and answered the Committee's questions.

Mr. C. Ashmore, Law Branch, provided information and answered the Committee's questions.

Photographs taken on May 2, 2017, and January 31, 2018, were provided to the Appellant, Members of the Committee and the Office of the City Clerk.

The Committee met in private at 12:17 p.m., pursuant to Section 20 of The Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

The Committee met in public at 12.42 p.m.

Moved S. McKeen:

The Committee adjourns the hearing until March 1, 2018, so it can hear evidence from a Development Officer as to whether the structure could be a valid carport. At this time the committee is of the opinion that the property would be considered a nuisance as a result of the materials inside the structure at the time	Citizen Services Dept.
---	------------------------

the Order was issued.

In Favour:

Carried

M. Banga, S. McKeen, J. Dziadyk

4. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 12:43 p.m.

Chair

City Clerk